

SHORT COMMUNICATION

A NOTE ON  $L_-^p$  CONVERGENCE OF CERTAIN COSINE SUMS

Maher MH Marzuq  
 84 Raymond Road, Plymouth, Massachusetts 02360, USA

ABSTRACT

In this paper we obtain theorems concerning  $L_-^p$  space with  $p=1$ ,  $0 < p < 1$  and  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ . We will redefine some theorem of Telyakovskii (1973) and Corollary of Marzuq (1975) as well as Corollary of Ram (1977).

**Keywords and phrases:** Bounded variation,  $\delta$ -quasi-monotone sequence, certain cosine sums,  $L_-^p$  and convergence.  
 AMS Subject Classifications 2010, Primary 42A20, 42A32

INTRODUCTION

Write

$$f(x) : \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx, \quad (1.1)$$

$$f_m(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta a_k + \sum_{k=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=k}^m \Delta a_j \right) \cos kx, \quad (1.2)$$

$$S_N(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \cos kx \quad (1.3)$$

$$g_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k + \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=k}^n a_j \right) \cos kx \quad (1.4)$$

Garrett and Stanojevic (1975), Garrett *et al.* (1980).

2. Statements of Results.

**Definition 1.** A sequence  $\{ a_n \}$  is said to be of bounded variation if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_n| < \infty$ , where  $\Delta a_n = a_n - a_{n+1}$ .

**Definition 2.** A sequence  $\{ a_n \}$  is said to be quasi-monotone if  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ;  $a_n > 0$  ultimately and  $\Delta a_n \geq -\delta_n$ , where  $\{ \delta_n \}$  is a sequence of positive numbers Boas (1965).

**Definition 3.** A sequence  $\{ a_n \}$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$  is said to satisfy condition S if

- (i)  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (ii) there exist a numbers  $A_n$  such that  $\{ A_n \}$  is monotonically decreasing to 0 and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n < \infty$  is convergent,
- (iii)  $|\Delta a_n| \leq A_n$  for all n.

Telyakovskii (1973).

Throughout this paper C denotes a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

We introduce the following definition.

**Definition 4.** A sequence  $\{ a_n \}$ ,  $n=1,2,\dots$  is said to satisfy condition T if

- (i)  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (ii) there exist  $A_n$   $n=1,2,\dots$  such that  $\{ A_n \}$ , is a  $\delta$  quasi-monotone sequence and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \delta_n$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  converge,
- (iii)  $|\Delta a_n| \leq A_n$  ultimately.

Marzuq (1982)

Independently Zenei (1992) considered the class  $S(\delta)$ , later it is proved by

Leindler (2000) and Telyakovskii (2000), the classes S,T and  $S(\delta)$  are identical.

\*Corresponding author email: maher\_marzuq@yahoo.com

We prove:

**Theorem 1.** If  $\{a_n\}$  belongs to the class T, then  $f_n(x)$  converges to  $f(x)$  in  $L^1_-$  norm.

Proof. Let  $N' > N$ . Then by (1.3)

$$|S_{N'}(x) - S_N(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{N'} a_k \cos kx \right|,$$

and by partial summation,

$$|S_{N'}(x) - S_N(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{N'-1} (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) - a_{N+1} D_N(x) + a_{N'} D_{N'}(x) \right|$$

Where  $D_k(x)$  is given by

$$D_k(x) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \cos kx = \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})x}{2 \sin \frac{x}{2}} \tag{2.1}$$

Zygmund (1968).

We have

$$|D_k(x)| < \frac{\pi}{2x} \text{ for } x > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

Bary (1964). Therefore for  $x > 0$  and  $N', N > N_0(\epsilon)$ ,

$$|S_{N'}(x) - S_N(x)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2x} \left[ \sum_{N+1}^{N'-1} |\Delta a_k| + |a_{N+1}| + |a_{N'}| \right].$$

Since  $\{a_n\} \in T$ , it follows that,

$$|S_{N'}(x) - S_N(x)| < \epsilon \text{ for } N, N' > N_0(\epsilon) \text{ and } x > 0.$$

Thus

$$f(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} S_N(x) \tag{2.3}$$

exists for  $x \in (0, \pi]$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{a_n\} \in T$ . Then  $f_m \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1_-$  norm.

Proof. Using partial summation on the right of (2.3) We get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) + a_N D_N(x) - \frac{1}{2} a_1 \right] \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) + a_N D_N(x) \right] \end{aligned}$$

since  $D_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then by (2.2) and Definition 4i, we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Delta a_k) D_k(x). \tag{2.4}$$

Now, by partial summation in the second term in (1.2) we obtain

$$f_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m (\Delta a_k) D_k(x). \tag{2.5}$$

By applying partial summation again we have for  $m+1 \leq n$

$$\sum_{m+1}^n (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) = \sum_{m+1}^{n-1} (\Delta A_k) T_k(x) + A_n T_n(x) - A_{m+1} T_m(x), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $T_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\Delta a_k}{A_k} D_k(x)$  Marzuq (1975)

Take  $\alpha_k = \frac{\Delta a_k}{A_k}$ .

Then for  $k$  sufficiently large  $|\alpha_k| \leq 1$ , since  $\{a_k\} \in T$ .

Hence for  $m$  sufficiently large (2.6) gives

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left| \sum_{m+1}^n (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) \right| dx \leq \sum_{m+1}^{n-1} |\Delta A_k| \int_0^{\pi} |T_k(x)| dx + A_n \int_0^{\pi} |T_n(x)| dx + A_{m+1} \int_0^{\pi} |T_m(x)| dx$$

By the definition of condition T and Telyakovskii (1973), we have

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left| \sum_{m+1}^n (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) \right| dx \leq C \left[ \sum_{m+1}^{n-1} |\Delta A_k| (k+1) + A_n (n+1) + A_{m+1} (m+1) \right]$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then by Boas (1965) with  $\gamma = 1$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\pi} \left| \sum_{m+1}^n (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) \right| dx \leq C \left[ \sum_{m+1}^{\infty} |\Delta A_k| (k+1) + A_{m+1} (m+1) \right]$$

so that Fatou's lemma implies

$$\int_0^{\pi} \left| \sum_{m+1}^{\infty} (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) \right| dx \leq C \left[ \sum_{m+1}^{\infty} |\Delta A_k| (k+1) + A_{m+1} (m+1) \right] \tag{2.7}$$

Now for sufficiently large  $m$ , (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) give

$$\int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_m(x)| dx = \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{k=1}^m (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) \right| dx \leq C \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m |\Delta a_k| (k+1) + A_{m+1} (m+1) \right]$$

and consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_m(x)| dx \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| (k+1) + (m+1) A_{m+1} \right] = 0$$

since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1) |\Delta a_k| < \infty$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} mA_m = 0$ , by

Boas (1965).

Thus  $f_m \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$  norm.

**3. Generalization of Telyakovskii Theorem.** In view of the identity

$$f_n(x) = S_n(x) - a_{n+1} D_n(x), \tag{3.1}$$

where  $S_n(x)$  is give by (1.3) and  $f_n$  is given by (1.2) Marzuq (1975), we deduce the

following Corollary which is a part of Theorem 4 of

Telyakovskii (1973), Corollary of Marzuq (1975), and Corollary of Ram (1977).

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\{a_n\} \in T$ . Then (1.3) converses in  $L^1$  norm to (1.1) if and only if

$$a_n \log n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let  $a_n \log n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\pi |f(x) - S_n(x)| dx &\leq \int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_n(x)| dx + \int_0^\pi |f_n(x) - S_n(x)| dx \\ &= \int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_n(x)| dx + |a_{n+1}| \int_0^\pi |D_n(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_n(x)| dx + C |a_{n+1}| \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^\pi |D_n(x)| dx : \frac{2}{\pi} \log n \tag{3.2}$$

Zygmund (1968), then by the assumption,  $a_n \log n$  and Theorem 1.

It follows that  $S_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$  norm.

Conversely, assume that  $S_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$  norm, then (3.1) implies that

$$\int_0^\pi |a_{n+1} D_n(x)| dx = \int_0^\pi |f_n(x) - S_n(x)| dx \leq \int_0^\pi |f(x) - S_n(x)| dx + \int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_n(x)| dx,$$

and hence the hypothesis on  $a_n \log n$  and Theorem1 imply that

$$\int_0^\pi |a_{n+1}| |D_n(x)| dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore (3.2) and the above result imply that  $a_n \log n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This proves Corollary 1.

**4. Conversance.** In the space  $L^p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ). We have the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $n=0,1,\dots$ , be a sequence of bounded variation such that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for  $0 < p < 1$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_n(x)| dx = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (\Delta a_k) D_k(x) \right|,$$

so by (2.2) for  $x > 0$

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2x} \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| \right),$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi |f(x) - f_n(x)|^p dx \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| \right)^p \int_0^\pi x^{-p} dx = 0.$$

Since

$\int_0^\pi x^{-p} dx < \infty$  for  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\{a_k\}$ ,  $k = 0,1,\dots$ , is a sequence of bounded variation.

This proves Theorem 2.

By considering  $g_n(x)$  given by (1.4), and  $g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x)$  exist

Marzuq (2005), where  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of bounded variation and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We find that  $p$  has to be restricted to  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ . In this case we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , be a sequence of bounded variation such that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi |g(x) - g_n(x)|^p dx = 0.$$

Proof. By partial summation (1.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k D_k(x) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k + a_n D_n(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k D_k(x), \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Where  $D_k(x)$  is give by (2.1).

Apply partial summation to the right side of (4.1). We get

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(x) &= \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\Delta a_k)(k+1)F_k(x) + a_n(n+1)F_n(x) - \frac{1}{2} a_1 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\Delta a_k)(k+1)F_k(x) + a_n(n+1)F_n(x), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n D_k(x).$$

Since

$$F_n(x) \leq \frac{C}{(n+1)x^2}, \quad 0 < x \leq \pi \tag{4.3}$$

Zygmund (1968) and  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , (4.2) gives

$$g(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\Delta a_k)(k+1)F_k(x). \tag{4.4}$$

Thus (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) give

$$|g(x) - g_n(x)| \leq \frac{C}{x^2} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| + |a_n| \right).$$

Raise both sides to the  $p$ th power and integrate over  $(0, \pi)$ , and take the limit as is

$n \rightarrow \infty$ . We obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\pi |g(x) - g_n(x)|^p dx \leq C \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| + |a_n| \right)^p \int_0^\pi x^{-2p} dx = 0,$$

since  $\int_0^\pi x^{-2p} dx$  is finite for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ . This proves theorem 3.

We need the following inequality

$$(a+b)^p \leq 2^p (a^p + b^p), \quad a \geq 0, \quad b \geq 0, \tag{4.5}$$

for  $0 < p < \infty$  Duren (1970).

**Corollary 2.** If  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , is a sequence of bounded variation such that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $g \in L^p [0, \pi]$  for  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ .

Proof. Write

$g(x) = g(x) - g_n(x) + g_n(x)$ , then by inequality (4.5),

$$|g(x)|^p \leq 2^p \left( |g(x) - g_n(x)|^p + |g_n(x)|^p \right). \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.2) and (4.3) we have

$$|g_n(x)| \leq \frac{C}{x^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta a_k| + \frac{C}{x^2} |a_n|.$$

Hence by using (4.5) again, (4.6) becomes

$$|g(x)|^p \leq 2^p \left\{ |g(x) - g_n(x)|^p + 2^p \left[ \frac{C}{x^{2p}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta a_k| \right)^p + \frac{C}{x^{2p}} |a_n|^p \right] \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^\pi |g(x)|^p dx \leq 2^p \int_0^\pi |g(x) - g_n(x)|^p dx + 2^p C \left[ \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\Delta a_k| \right)^p + |a_n|^p \right] \int_0^\pi x^{-2p} dx$$

Let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by Theorem 3 and the hypothesis of the Corollary we conclude that

$$\int_0^\pi |g(x)|^p dx \leq C \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta a_k| \right)^p < \infty.$$

Thus  $g \in L^p [0, \pi]$ ,  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is thankful to the referees for their wise comments, which have definitely improved the representation for the paper.

## REFERENCES

Bary, NK. 1964. A Treatise on Trigonometric series, Vol. II, Pergamon Press, Oxford.

Boas, RP Jr. 1965. Quasi-positive sequences and trigonometric series, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)14A :38-46.

Duren, PL. 1970. Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces, Academic Press, NY, USA.

Garrett, JW. and Stanojevic. 1975. On Integrability and  $L^1$  convergence of certain cosine sums, Notes Amer. Math. Soc. 22(A-166).

Garrett, JW., Rees, CS. and Stanojevic, CV. 1980.  $L^1$  – Convergence of Fourier series with coefficients of bounded variation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 80:423-430.

Leindler, L. 2000. On the equivalence of class of numerical sequences, Analysis Mathematica (Szeged-Moscow). 26:227-234.

Marzuq, M. 1975.  $L^1$  – Convergence of certain cosine sums, J. Univ. Kuwait (Sci) 2 :9-10.

Marzuq, M. 2005. Integrability theorems of trigonometric series, Toyama Math. J. 28:1-9.

Maher, MH. Marzuq. 1982. Properties of Functions on Bounded Star-Shaped Circular Domains in  $C^N$  ( $N > 1$ ). Thesis, Department of Mathematics, State University of New York at Buffalo, New York, USA. 1-193.

Ram, B. 1977. Convergence of certain sums in the metric space L, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 66:258-260.

Telyakovskii, SA. 1973. Concerning a sufficient condition of Sidon for the Integrability of trigonometric series. Math. Notes. 14:742-748.

Telyakovskii, SA. 2000. A remark on condition for Integrability of trigonometric series. Moscow University Bulletin. 4:58-60(in Russian).

Zenei, SZA. 1990. Integrability of trigonometric series, Tamkang J. Math. 21:295-301.

Zygmund, A. 1968. Trigonometric Series, Cambridge Univ. Press, (vol. I & II), New York, USA.